

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/360,068	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PAGE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> PRAMILA PARTHASARATHY	<b>Art Unit</b> 2136	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) PRAMILA PARTHASARATHY.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Michael L. Drapkin.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 June 2008
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

All independent claims

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested amending the claims to include the subject matter that is essential to the practice of the invention and to clearly define the scope of the Applicant's invention. Examiner suggested amending with "security device" for "decoding and encoding" in all the independent claims. Applicant agreed and authorized for Examiner's amendment.